

ISSN 0972-1754

VOLUME 19 • NUMBER 1 • June 2015

INDIAN
ACCOUNTING
REVIEW



INDIAN ACCOUNTING ASSOCIATION
RESEARCH FOUNDATION

IAARF ♦ Indian Accounting Review ♦ Vol. 19 No. 1 ♦ June 2015

INDIAN ACCOUNTING REVIEW

EDITOR

Bhabatosh Banerjee

Formerly of University of Calcutta, India
IIM Calcutta

CONSULTING EDITORS

Bikki Jaggi

Rutgers University
New Jersey, USA

Rajendra P. Srivastava

University of Kansas
Lawrence, USA

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Arun Kumar Basu

Formerly of University of Calcutta

Dhrubaranjan Dandapat

University of Calcutta

ADVISORY EDITORIAL BOARD

Ashok Banerjee

Indian Institute of Management Calcutta
India

Sidney J. Gray

International Business
University of Sydney Business School
The University of Sydney, Australia

Kazuo Hiramatsu

Kwansei Gakuin University
Japan

Patrick J. Hutchinson

University of New England
Armidale, Australia

A. Rashad Abdel-Khalik

University of Illinois at
Urbana Champaign, USA

Pratapsinh Chauhan

Indian Accounting Association

Debaprossana Nandy

Research, Innovation & Journal Directorate

Institute of Cost Accountants of India

Belverd E. Needles, Jr.

DePaul University
Chicago, USA

D. V. Ramana

Xavier Institute of Management
Bhubaneswar, India

P. R. Ramesh

Deloitte India

G. Soral

M. L. Sukhadia University
Udaipur, India

Shyam Sunder

Yale School of Management
Connecticut, USA

Richard M. S. Wilson

Formerly of Loughborough University
Leicestershire, UK

Stefano Zambon

University of Ferrara, Italy

Stephen A. Zeff

Rice University
Houston, USA

*The Editorial Board of the Indian Accounting Review is not
responsible for views expressed by the authors and reviewers.*

Annual Subscription Rates

In India : Individual : Rs. 500 ; Institution : Rs. 600
Abroad : Individual : US \$ 50 ; Institution : US \$ 100

Enterprise Risk Management : Its Importance and Implementation

Bikki Jaggi*

&

Lakshmana Kumar Krishna Moorthy

Department of Accounting and Information Systems
School of Business at Newark and New Brunswick
Rutgers University, New Jersey, USA

ABSTRACT

The financial crisis in 2008 was the result of financial institutions and others not understanding and managing various risks. Coming out of the crisis, there has been an increased focus by the various stakeholders, firms, regulators, etc. on the management of risk. Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) provides a framework for identifying and managing risk at the firm level, spearheaded ideally by a person in the top management, such as a Chief Risk Officer (CRO) or similar, and with the supervision and guidance by the board of directors. In this paper, we explain the importance of ERM, framework for ERM, role of ERM in the organizational structure, internal monitoring of ERM by the boards, external monitoring and role of independent auditors and the importance of enterprise risk disclosures.

Key words: Enterprise Risk Management, Chief Risk Officer, Sarbanese-Oxley Act, Internal Control Mechanism, Disclosures.

* Corresponding author's email: jaggi@business.rutgers.edu

Relative Performance Evaluation in CEO Compensation: Evidence from India[#]

Sudhir Kumar Jaiswal

Doctoral Candidate, University of Calcutta
Guest Faculty, Finance & Control Group
Indian Institute of Management Calcutta & Ranchi

Manju Jaiswall

Finance & Control Group
Indian Institute of Management Calcutta

&

Asish Kumar Bhattacharyya

School of Corporate Governance and Public Policy
Indian Institute of Corporate Affairs, Manesar, Gurgaon, Haryana

ABSTRACT

Relative performance evaluation (RPE) improves compensation contracting efficiency, reduces moral hazard, and provides effort incentive. This study examines the use of RPE in total CEO compensation in Indian private-sector firms. It documents an economically significant role of RPE in CEO compensation contracting. Total CEO compensation is 52% lower than the mean, when peer performance is one standard deviation higher than the mean. Further, the study documents the use of accounting return, not stock return, as the firm performance measure in RPE in Indian private-sector firms. Therefore, the performance measures used in RPE in India and the U.S. are quite different.

Key words: Relative performance evaluation, CEO compensation, Private-sector firms, India.

[#] An earlier version of the paper was presented at the International Conference of the American Accounting Association held in Atlanta in August 2013. The authors thank Gia Chevis (discussant), an anonymous reviewer, and conference participants. The authors also thank the anonymous reviewer of the Indian Accounting Review. However, for any error or omission, the authors take the responsibility.

* Corresponding author's email: sudhir@jaiswall.com

Corporate Governance Practices in India : A Critical Evaluation of Select Indian Firms

Devanjali Nandi (Das)*

Department of Business Administration
The University of Burdwan

&

Arindam Das

Department of Commerce
The University of Burdwan

ABSTRACT

The paper formulates a governance score based on the compliance of Clause 49 of the listing agreement, as appearing in the published annual reports, by select companies and link it with firm performance. The sample includes CNX200 companies included in the cement, infrastructure, software and pharmaceutical sectors for the time period, 2013-2014. It is conducted by relying upon the published annual reports of these companies for details on corporate governance practices. The study finds a positive and statistically significant association between CGS (Corporate Governance Score) and firm performance. This is consistent with prior research in other countries and cross-country studies. The observed results suggest that companies with good governance practices have improved performance.

Key words: Corporate governance score, Firm performance, India, Leverage, Regression analysis.

* Corresponding author's email: dnandi2003@yahoo.co.in

Performance Measurement and Accounting : In Search of a Composite Index with Reference to Public Enterprises in India⁺

D. V. Ramana

Xavier Institute of Management
Xavier University, Bhubaneswar
Email : ramana@ximb.ac.in

ABSTRACT

The paper starting with a brief discussion on conceptual framework of accounting and traditional measures of financial performance and their relevance, delves on contemporary performance measures while emphasizing the need for their adoption. It then turns to measurement of performance of Public Enterprises (PEs) in India in view of their multiple objectives. With this end of view, it is necessary to understand the contracts between these enterprises and the Department of Public Enterprises. Finally, a more effective performance evaluation system, drawing some examples from PEs, in the form of a Composite Performance Index is suggested.

Key words: Conceptual framework, Contemporary performance measures, Comprehensive reporting, Public enterprises, Performance contracts, Composite performance index, India.

⁺ The paper is partly based on author's Keynote Address on *Measuring Performance of Public Enterprises: In search of a Composite Index* at First Plenary Session of 12th International Accounting Conference (IAA Research Foundation in Science City, January 3–4, 2015). Many of the changes are in response to comments of the participants and also the anonymous reviewer. However, for errors and omissions, if any, the author is alone responsible.

INDIAN ACCOUNTING REVIEW

Statement of Policy, Requirements & Guidelines

Policy

Indian Accounting Review (IAR) is a bi-annual research sponsored by the Indian Accounting Association Research Foundation. It is published in June and December each year. It is a refereed international journal with the review process being double blind.

The scope of the journal encompasses all areas of accounting including auditing, taxation, management accounting and information systems. IAR seeks to publish high quality, research-oriented and original articles. It encourages both fundamental and applied research works.

Submission requirements

Two copies of manuscripts along with a C.D. should be submitted for consideration for publication in IAR. Manuscripts from abroad should be accompanied by a US \$100 non-refundable submission fee payable by cheque in favour of 'IAA Research Foundation'. For authors from SAARC countries, non-refundable submission fee is Rs. 500 but for each published article, Rs. 1,000 will be awarded.

All manuscript should be typed double-spaced. A separate list of references should be used, not made a part of the footnotes. Footnotes, also double-spaced, should be listed at the end of the paper. Manuscripts should not normally exceed 20 pages including figures, tables, footnotes and references, printed on 8.5" × 11" paper.

Each manuscript should contain a non-mathematical abstract of not more than 100 words. There should be a title page containing the name of the article, authors' names, affiliations and corresponding author's address. The names of the authors should not appear on the first page of the manuscript to facilitate blind review. Manuscripts must be prepared strictly following the guidelines.

The submission of a manuscript to IAR means that the author certifies that the manuscript is not copyrighted, nor has it been accepted for publication (or published) by any refereed journal; nor is it being submitted elsewhere, at the same time.

Manuscript-preparation guidelines

The following guidelines should be followed.

Heading : Bold, centred and 14 point. Each word should start with a capital letter.

Author Name : Centred 12 point, with affiliation below the name in 10 point.

Abstract : Indented from both sides in 10 point.

Headings : Bold, upper case only centred in 12 point.

Sub-headings : Bold, upper-lowers, 10 point, from left margin.

Text : In 12 point and there should be one-inch margins on all four sides.

Tables and Figures : Table in capital and centred in 10 point, and the table description in bold, upper lower 12 point.

References : Samples :

- (i) **Book** : Choi, F.D.S., Frost, C.A. & Meek, G.K. (1999). *International Accounting*, Upper Saddle River, N.J. : Prentice Hall, 24–31.
- (ii) **Journal** : Rivera, J.M. (1991). Prediction performance of earnings forecasts : the case of U.S. multinationals. *Journal of International Business*, 22, 265–288.

Submission address :

Manuscripts from the U.S.A., Canada, Mexico, South-American and European countries should be submitted to : Professor Bikki Jaggi, Consulting Editor, IAR, Dept. of Accounting & Information Systems, School of Business, University of Rutgers, Piscataway, N.J. 08854, USA.

Email : jaggi@business.rutgers.edu

Manuscripts from other countries should be submitted to : **Professor Bhabatosh Banerjee**, Editor, IAR, 164/78 Lake Gardens, Flat B-10, Kolkata – 700 045, India.

INDIAN ACCOUNTING REVIEW

[Vol. 19, No. 1, June 2015]

Enterprise Risk Management :
Its Importance and Implementation

— *Bikki Jaggi*
Lakshmana Kumar
Krishna Moorthy

Relative Performance Evaluation in CEO
Compensation : Evidence from India

— *Sudhir Kumar Jaiswal*
Manju Jaiswall
Ashish Kumar
Bhattacharyya

Corporate Governance Practices in India :
A Critical Evaluation of Select Indian Firms

— *Devanjali Nandi (Das)*
Arindam Das

Performance Measurement and Accounting : — *D. V. Ramana*
In search of a Composite Index with
Reference to Public Enterprises in India

Indian Accounting Review (IAR) is a blind-reviewed
international refereed journal. IAR is indexed and
included in :

- INDEX COPERNICUS
- ULRICH DIRECTORY
- CABELL'S DIRECTORY

*Printed by Dr. Dhrubaranjan Dandapat, on behalf of IAA Research Foundation,
at Ajanta Printers, 7B Sitaram Ghosh Street, Kolkata – 700 009
(Ph. 033-2241-3969).*

IAR is sent free of charge to all members of the IAA Research Foundation.